BEFORE THE SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY AND HEARING AID DISPENSERS BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 1C-2012-29

ROBERT J. BENNETT 716 Freeman Lane, Suite C Grass Valley, CA 95949 OAH No. 2016070857

Hearing Aid Dispensers License No. HA 7365

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on

June 21, 2017

It is so ORDERED

FOR THE SPEEQH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY

AND AUDIOL GY AND HEARING AID

DISPENSERS BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MEGAN R. O'CARROLL	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 215479	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5288	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9		
10	DEFO	
11	BEFORE THE SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY AND HEARING AID DISPENSERS BOARD	
12	DEPARTMENT OF C	ONSUMER AFFAIRS ALIFORNIA
13	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1C-2012-29
15	ROBERT J. BENNETT 716 Freeman Lane, Suite C	OAH No. 2016070857
16	Grass Valley, CA 95949	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
17	Hearing Aid Dispensers License No. HA 7365	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
18	Respondent.	
19	respondent.	
20		
21		
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
23	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
24	<u>PARTIES</u>	
25	1. Paul Sanchez (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Speech-Language	
26	Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board (Board). He brought this action	
27	solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney	
28	General of the State of California, by Megan R. O'Carroll, Deputy Attorney General.	
1		

- Respondent Robert J. Bennett, (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Glenn W. Peterson, whose address is: 2267 Lava Ridge Court, Suite 210 Roseville, CA 95661.
- 3. On or about March 25, 2008, the Board issued Hearing Aid Dispensers License No. HA 7365 to Robert J. Bennett, (Respondent). The Hearing Aid Dispensers License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 1C-2012-29, and will expire on March 31, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 1C-2012-29 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 4, 2015. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 1C-2012-29 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1C-2012-29. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 1C-2012-29.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Hearing Aid Dispensers License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Hearing Aid Dispensers License No. HA 7365 issued to Respondent Robert J. Bennett, is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. MONITORING

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board for its prior approval a plan of practice in which Respondent's practice shall be monitored by another hearing aid dispenser, who shall provide periodic reports to the Board. If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 15 days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by Respondent and approval by the Board.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval, the name and qualifications of an individual who has agreed to serve as a practice and billing monitor.

The practice and billing monitor shall (1) hold a current and valid California license in the same field of practice as Respondent, (2) have held the license for a minimum of three (3) years; (3) have had no disciplinary action taken against his/her license by the Board; and (4) be independent, with no prior or current business, professional, personal, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to provide impartial and unbiased supervision of the Respondent. An administrative citation and fine does not constitute discipline and therefore, in and of itself, is not a reason to deny an individual as a monitor.

Once approved, the monitor(s) shall submit to the Board or its designee a plan for approval by which Respondent's practice shall be monitored. The Respondent shall provide the monitor with a copy of this Decision and Accusation or Statement of Issues. The monitoring shall be general and not require the physical presence of the monitor during the time services are performed, but does require an occasional, unrestricted review of the work performed as well as quarterly monitoring visits at the office or place of practice.

Additionally, the monitor shall have full and unrestricted access to patient and billing records of Respondent. The monitor may evaluate all aspects of Respondent's practice regardless of Respondent's areas of deficiencies. Respondent shall obtain any necessary patient releases to enable the monitor to review all client and fiscal records and to make direct contact with clients, if necessary. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the monitor to divulge any information that the Board may request.

///

The approved monitor shall submit written reports to the Board on a quarterly basis, or other frequency as determined by the Board, verifying that monitoring has taken place as required and include an evaluation of Respondent's performance, compliance with his/her probationary conditions, and existing laws governing the practice. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to assure that the required reports are filed in a timely manner.

If the monitor terminates his/her monitoring or is no longer available to serve in the monitor role, Respondent must submit to the Board the name or names of a new monitor, including qualifications and supervision plan within fifteen (15) days. If a new monitor is not approved by the Board within thirty (30) days from the date of resignation of the previous monitor, Respondent shall be suspended from practice until a new monitor has been approved by the Board and the necessary documents are filed with the Board.

All costs of monitored practice shall be paid by the Respondent. Failure to pay costs will be considered a violation of the probation order.

2. ETHICS COURSE

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board. Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of probation is a violation of probation.

3. SUPERVISION

Respondent shall not function as a supervisor during the period of probation for hearing aid dispenser trainees.

4. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, including all statutes and regulations governing the practice of the licensee.

Further, Respondent shall, within five (5) days of any arrest, submit to the Board in writing a full and detailed account of such arrest.

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2.0

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

5. COMPLY WITH PROBATION PROGRAM

Respondent shall fully comply with the probation program established by the Board and shall cooperate with the representatives of the Board.

6. CHANGE OF ADDRESS NOTIFICATION

Respondent shall, within five (5) days of a change of residence or mailing address, notify the Board in writing of the new address.

7. OUT OF STATE RESIDENCY

Respondent shall notify the Board immediately in writing if he leaves California to reside or practice in another state.

Respondent shall notify the Board immediately upon return to California.

The period of probation shall not run during the time Respondent is residing or practicing outside California.

8. <u>TOLLING FOR CESSATION OF PRACTICE WHILE MAINTAINING IN-STATE</u> RESIDENCE

The period of probation shall not run during the time Respondent has ceased to practice while continuing to reside in California. If, during probation, the Respondent ceases to practice, Respondent is required to immediately notify the Board in writing of the date practice ceased and the date practice will be resumed.

9. SUBMIT QUARTERLY WRITTEN DECLARATIONS

Respondent shall submit to the Board quarterly written declarations and verification of actions signed under penalty of perjury. These declarations shall certify and document compliance with all the conditions of probation.

10. NOTIFY EMPLOYER OF PROBATION TERMS AND RESTRICTIONS

When currently employed or applying for employment as a hearing aid dispenser,
Respondent shall notify his employer of the probationary status of Respondent's license. This
notification to the Respondent's current health care employer shall occur no later than the
effective date of the Decision placing Respondent on probation. The Respondent shall notify any
prospective health care employer of his probationary status with the Board prior to accepting such

employment. This notification shall be by providing the employer or prospective employer with a copy of the Board's Decision placing Respondent on probation.

Respondent shall cause each employer to submit quarterly written declarations to the Board. These declarations shall include a performance evaluation.

Respondent shall notify the Board, in writing, of any change in his employment status, within ten (10) days of such change.

11. <u>INTERVIEWS WITH BOARD REPRESENTATIVES</u>

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board, or its designee, upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice. An initial probation visit will be required within sixty (60) days of the effective date of the Decision. The purpose of this initial interview is to introduce Respondent to the Board's representatives and to familiarize Respondent with specific probation conditions and requirements. Additional meetings may be scheduled as needed.

12. FUNCTION IN LICENSED CAPACITY

During probation, Respondent shall work in his capacity in the State of California. If Respondent is unable to secure employment in his capacity, the period of probation shall be tolled during that time.

13. MAINTAIN A VALID LICENSE

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

Should Respondent's license, by operation of law or otherwise, expire, upon renewal or reinstatement, Respondent's license shall be subject to any and all terms of this probation not previously satisfied.

14. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board may seek to revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. The Respondent shall receive prior notice and the opportunity to be heard. If a Petition to Revoke Probation, an Accusation or Petition to Vacate Stay or other formal disciplinary action is filed against Respondent during probation, the

1	Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be extended until the	
2	matter is final.	
3	15. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>	
4	Respondent's license will be fully restored upon successful completion of probation.	
5	16. COST RECOVERY	
б	Respondent shall reimburse the Board for the cost of the investigation and prosecution of	
7	this case. That amount shall be \$9,126.00, and shall be paid in full directly to the Board within	
8	thirty (30) days prior to the end of the probation period.	
9	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
10	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully	
11	discussed it with my attorney, Glenn W. Peterson. I understand the stipulation and the effect it	
12	will have on my Hearing Aid Dispensers License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and	
13	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the	
14	Decision and Order of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid	
15	Dispensers Board.	
16	O(100)	
17	DATED: March 24,2017 ROBERT I PENNITT	
i8	ROBERT J. BENNETT Respondent	
19	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Robert J. Bennett, HA the terms and	
20	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
21	I approve its form and content,	
22:	DATED: 3/24/17 ()	
23	GLENN W. PETERSON Attorney for Respondent	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board. Dated: april 4, 2017 Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General MEGAN R. O'CARROLL Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SA2014312652 32812422.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 1C-2012-29

FILED - STATE OF CALIFORNIA Speech-Language Pathology & Audiology & Hearing Aid Dispensers Board Sacramento, California on December 4, 2015 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California Jose R. Guerrero Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 MEGAN R. O'CARROLL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 215479 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 6 Telephone: (916) 324-5288 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY AND HEARING AID 9 DISPENSERS BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 1C-2012-29 13 ROBERT J. BENNETT ACCUSATION 14 McDonald Hearing Aid Center 363 S. Lower Sacramento Rd., #B 15 Lodi, CA 95242 16 Hearing Aid Dispensers License No. HA 7365 17 Respondent. 18 19 Complainant alleges: 20 **PARTIES** 21 Paul Sanchez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as 22 the Executive Officer of the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid 23 Dispensers Board, Department of Consumer Affairs. 24 On or about March 25, 2008, the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and 25 Hearing Aid Dispensers Board issued Hearing Aid Dispensers License Number HA 7365 to

Robert J. Bennett, HA (Respondent). The Hearing Aid Dispensers License was in full force and

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2016,

26

27

28

unless renewed.

27²

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2531.02 of the Code states:

"Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

5. Section 2533 of the Code states:

"The board may refuse to issue, or issue subject to terms and conditions, a license on the grounds specified in Section 480, or may suspend, revoke, or impose terms and conditions upon the license of any licensee for any of the following:

- "(a) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a speech-language pathologist or audiologist or hearing aid dispenser, as the case may be. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
 - 66 22
- "(d) Advertising in violation of Section 17500. Advertising an academic degree that was not validly awarded or earned under the laws of this state or the applicable jurisdiction in which it was issued is deemed to constitute a violation of Section 17500.
- "(e) Committing a dishonest or fraudulent act that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensee.
 - "(f) Incompetence, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts.
- "(g) Other acts that have endangered or are likely to endanger the health, welfare, and safety of the public.
- "(h) Use by a hearing aid dispenser of the term 'doctor' or 'physician' or 'clinic' or 'audiologist,' or any derivation thereof, except as authorized by law.

- "(i) The use, or causing the use, of any advertising or promotional literature in a manner that has the capacity or tendency to mislead or deceive purchasers or prospective purchasers.
 - "(j) Any cause that would be grounds for denial of an application for a license.
 - "(k) Violation of Section 1689.6 or 1793.02 of the Civil Code."

66 9:

6. Section 2538.35 of the Code states:

"A licensee shall, upon the consummation of a sale of a hearing aid, deliver to the purchaser a written receipt, signed by or on behalf of the licensee, containing all of the following:

- "(a) The date of consummation of the sale.
- "(b) Specifications as to the make, serial number, and model number of the hearing aid or aids sold.
- "(c) The address of the principal place of business of the licensee, and the address and office hours at which the licensee shall be available for fitting or post fitting adjustments and servicing of the hearing aid or aids sold.
- "(d) A statement to the effect that the aid or aids delivered to the purchaser are used or reconditioned, as the case may be, if that is the fact.
- "(e) The number of the licensee's license and the name and license number of any other hearing aid dispenser or temporary licensee who provided any recommendation or consultation regarding the purchase of the hearing aid.
- "(f) The terms of any guarantee or written warranty, required by Section 1793.02 of the Civil Code, made to the purchaser with respect to the hearing aid or hearing aids."
 - 7. Section 2538.36 of the Code states:
- "(a) Whenever any of the following conditions are found to exist either from observations by the licensee or on the basis of information furnished by the prospective hearing aid user, a licensee shall, prior to fitting or selling a hearing aid to any individual, suggest to that individual in writing that his or her best interests would be served if he or she would consult a licensed physician specializing in diseases of the ear or if no such licensed physician is available in the community then to a duly licensed physician:

- "(1) Visible congenital or traumatic deformity of the ear.
- "(2) History of, or active drainage from the ear within the previous 90 days.
- "(3) History of sudden or rapidly progressive hearing loss within the previous 90 days.
- "(4) Acute or chronic dizziness.
- "(5) Unilateral hearing loss of sudden or recent onset within the previous 90 days.
- "(6) Significant air-bone gap (when generally acceptable standards have been established).
- "(7) Visible evidence of significant cerumen accumulation or a foreign body in the ear canal.
 - "(8) Pain or discomfort in the ear.
 - " , , , ,
 - 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.115, states:
- "(a) The bureau may refuse to approve or approve subject to terms and conditions a hearing aid dispenser's authority to supervise a trainee-applicant, or may suspend, revoke or impose probationary conditions on a hearing aid dispenser's authority to supervise a trainee-applicant for any of the following causes:
- "(1) The failure to comply with section 3357 of the code or any of the regulations contained in this article which is a prima facie violation, or is confirmed by an internal investigation report signed by the chief, or by a formal investigation by the Division of Investigation of the department within the preceding 36 months. "Confirmed by formal investigation" means the investigator assigned the matter has written a final investigation report which has been countersigned by a Supervising Special Investigator.
- "(2) The violation of any provision of the Hearing Aid Dispensers Licensing Law or the regulations contained in this chapter which is confirmed by an internal investigation report signed by the executive officer, or by a formal investigation by the Division of Investigation of the department within the preceding 36 months. "Confirmed by formal investigation" means the investigator assigned the matter has written a final investigation report which has been countersigned by a Supervising Special Investigator.

"(3) The dispenser's license has been revoked, suspended, or subject to any restrictions within the preceding 36 months.

"(4) An Accusation has been filed against the dispenser under the Administrative Procedure

Act by the Attorney General's office and the charges are pending.

11 ... >>

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399,126, states:
- "(a) For purposes of Section 3365.5 of the code, a significant air-bone gap is defined as a difference of 15 decibels or more between the higher air conduction and the lower bone conduction pure tone thresholds at 2 or more succeeding octave frequencies of 500 Hertz through and including 4000 Hertz.
- "(b) Tests for significant air-bone gap shall be performed in a suitable environment using appropriate equipment to establish threshold values and with appropriate masking procedures employed."¹
 - 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.132

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a hearing aid dispenser's license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a hearing aid dispenser if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a hearing aid dispenser to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Any violation of the provisions of Sections 650, 651, 651.3 and 655.2 of the code.
- "(b) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 7.5, Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code."

25 1///

26 | ///

¹ Section 3365.5 is now renumbered as section 2538.36.

...

11.

Section 651 states:

"(a) It is unlawful for any person licensed under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division to disseminate or cause to be disseminated any form of public communication containing a false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement, claim, or image for the purpose of or likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the rendering of professional services or furnishing of products in connection with the professional practice or business for which he or she is licensed. A 'public communication' as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, communication by means of mail, television, radio, motion picture, newspaper, book, list or directory of healing arts practitioners, internet, or other electronic communication.

- "(b) A false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement, claim, or image includes a statement or claim that does any of the following:
 - "(1) Contains a misrepresentation of fact.
 - "(2) Is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts.
 - "(3)(A) Is intended or is likely to create false or unjustified expectations of favorable results, including the use of any photograph or other image that does not accurately depict the results of the procedure being advertised or that has been altered in any manner from the image of the actual subject depicted in the photograph or image.
 - "(B) Use of any photograph or other image of a model without clearly stating in a prominent location in easily readable type the fact that the photograph or image is of a model is a violation of subdivision (a). For purposes of this paragraph, a model is anyone other than an actual patient, who has undergone the procedure being advertised, of the licensee who is advertising for his or her services.
 - "(C) Use of any photograph or other image of an actual patient that depicts or purports to depict the results of any procedure, or presents 'before' and 'after' views of a patient, without specifying in a prominent location in easily readable type size what procedures were performed on that patient is a violation of subdivision (a). Any

'before' and 'after' views (i) shall be comparable in presentation so that the results are not distorted by favorable poses, lighting, or other features of presentation, and (ii) shall contain a statement that the same 'before' and 'after' results may not occur for all patients.

- "(4) Relates to fees, other than a standard consultation fee or a range of fees for specific types of services, without fully and specifically disclosing all variables and other material factors.
- "(5) Contains other representations or implications that in reasonable probability will cause an ordinarily prudent person to misunderstand or be deceived.
- "(6) Makes a claim either of professional superiority or of performing services in a superior manner, unless that claim is relevant to the service being performed and can be substantiated with objective scientific evidence.
- "(7) Makes a scientific claim that cannot be substantiated by reliable, peer reviewed, published scientific studies.
- "(8) Includes any statement, endorsement, or testimonial that is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts.
- "(c) Any price advertisement shall be exact, without the use of phrases, including, but not limited to, 'as low as,' 'and up,' 'lowest prices,' or words or phrases of similar import. Any advertisement that refers to services, or costs for services, and that uses words of comparison shall be based on verifiable data substantiating the comparison. Any person so advertising shall be prepared to provide information sufficient to establish the accuracy of that comparison. Price advertising shall not be fraudulent, deceitful, or misleading, including statements or advertisements of bait, discount, premiums, gifts, or any statements of a similar nature. In connection with price advertising, the price for each product or service shall be clearly identifiable. The price advertised for products shall include charges for any related professional services, including dispensing and fitting services, unless the advertisement specifically and clearly indicates otherwise.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

18

17

19 20

22 23

21

24

26

2.5

2.7 28

"(d) Any person so licensed shall not compensate or give anything of value to a representative of the press, radio, television, or other communication medium in anticipation of, or in return for, professional publicity unless the fact of compensation is made known in that publicity.

- "(e) Any person so licensed may not use any professional card, professional announcement card, office sign, letterhead, telephone directory listing, medical list, medical directory listing, or a similar professional notice or device if it includes a statement or claim that is false, fraudulent. misleading, or deceptive within the meaning of subdivision (b).
- "(f) Any person so licensed who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor, A bona fide mistake of fact shall be a defense to this subdivision, but only to this subdivision,
- "(g) Any violation of this section by a person so licensed shall constitute good cause for revocation or suspension of his or her license or other disciplinary action.

"(i) Each of the healing arts boards and examining committees within Division 2 shall adopt appropriate regulations to enforce this section in accordance with Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

"Each of the healing arts boards and committees and examining committees within Division 2 shall, by regulation, define those efficacious services to be advertised by businesses or professions under their jurisdiction for the purpose of determining whether advertisements are false or misleading. Until a definition for that service has been issued, no advertisement for that service shall be disseminated. However, if a definition of a service has not been issued by a board or committee within 120 days of receipt of a request from a licensee, all those holding the license may advertise the service. Those boards and committees shall adopt or modify regulations defining what services may be advertised, the manner in which defined services may be advertised, and restricting advertising that would promote the inappropriate or excessive use of health services or commodities. A board or committee shall not, by regulation, unreasonably prevent truthful, nondeceptive price or otherwise lawful forms of advertising of services or commodities, by either outright prohibition or imposition of onerous disclosure requirements.

 However, any member of a board or committee acting in good faith in the adoption or enforcement of any regulation shall be deemed to be acting as an agent of the state.

- "(j) The Attorney General shall commence legal proceedings in the appropriate forum to enjoin advertisements disseminated or about to be disseminated in violation of this section and seek other appropriate relief to enforce this section. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the costs of enforcing this section to the respective licensing boards or committees may be awarded against any licensee found to be in violation of any provision of this section. This shall not diminish the power of district attorneys, county counsels, or city attorneys pursuant to existing law to seek appropriate relief.
 - 12. Section 652 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"Violation of this article [Article 6, commencing with Section 650 of the Code] in the case of a licensed person constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for suspension or revocation of his or her license by the board by whom he or she is licensed, or if a license has been issued in connection with a place of business, then for the suspension or revocation of the place of business in connection with which the violation occurs. The proceedings for suspension or revocation shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code [the Administrative Procedure Act], and each board shall have all the powers granted therein."

- 13. Section 1793.02 of the Civil Code, also known as the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act, provides:
- "(a) All new and used assistive devices sold at retail in this state shall be accompanied by the retail seller's written warranty which shall contain the following language: "This assistive device is warranted to be specifically fit for the particular needs of you, the buyer. If the device is not specifically fit for your particular needs, it may be returned to the seller within 30 days of the date of actual receipt by you or completion of fitting by the seller, whichever occurs later. If you return the device, the seller will either adjust or replace the device or promptly refund the total amount paid. This warranty does not affect the protections and remedies you have under other laws." In lieu of the words "30 days" the retail seller may specify any longer period.

"(b) The language prescribed in subdivision (a) shall appear on the first page of the warranty in at least 10-point bold type. The warranty shall be delivered to the buyer at the time of the sale of the device.

"(c) If the buyer returns the device within the period specified in the written warranty, the seller shall, without charge and within a reasonable time, adjust the device or, if appropriate, replace it with a device that is specifically fit for the particular needs of the buyer. If the seller does not adjust or replace the device so that it is specifically fit for the particular needs of the buyer, the seller shall promptly refund to the buyer the total amount paid, the transaction shall be deemed rescinded, and the seller shall promptly return to the buyer all payments and any assistive device or other consideration exchanged as part of the transaction and shall promptly cancel or cause to be canceled all contracts, instruments, and security agreements executed by the buyer in connection with the sale. When a sale is rescinded under this section, no charge, penalty, or other fee may be imposed in connection with the purchase, fitting, financing, or return of the device.

"...."2

COST RECOVERY

- 14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 15. Paragraph 11 above, referring to the costs provision of section 651, subsection (j), is re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.

FACTS

Consumer M.B.

16. Respondent, Hearing Aid Dispenser Robert Bennett, is employed by McDonald Hearing Aid Center, (MHAC), a corporation with several branch locations throughout Northern California. Respondent has worked at several of the branch locations, including the Lodi branch,

² The text of Civil Code section 1793.02 cited here is the version that was in force at the time of the events alleged in this Accusation.

and the Roseville branch. MHAC disseminates advertisements through mailers and newspapers each month.

- 17. During January of 2012, Sister M.B., an elderly catholic nun, received a hearing test at a Kaiser Permanente Facility and was advised that she had a mild to moderate hearing loss that would benefit from hearing aids. Sister M.B. relayed this information to the financial advisor of her diocese, who advised her of their policy to conduct a price-comparison before making any large purchase. Sister M.B. consulted with another nun, Sister F.W., who had observed several advertisements for MHAC's sale on \$700.00 hearing aids. Sister F.W. provided the advertisements to Sister M.B., and agreed to accompany her to the MHAC branch location to compare prices.
- 18. On or about January 20, 2012, Sister M.B. and F.W. entered the MHAC branch location in Lodi, where Respondent was working. Sister M.B. immediately explained that she was not authorized to make any purchases herself without consulting with her Order's financial advisor, and that she was merely there to conduct a price comparison of the \$700.00 hearing aids she saw advertised. Respondent conducted a hearing test on Sister M.B.
- 19. After the hearing test was completed, a woman in a white coat entered the room waiving the audiometric test and told Sister M.B. that she had a very serious hearing loss. The woman in the white coat, Ashley Brown, was issued a hearing aid dispenser trainee license on or about May 25, 2010, but the license had expired on or about November 30, 2011. Brown was not licensed to fit or sell hearing aids during her interactions with Sister M.B. Sister M.B. was shaken and upset by the statements that she had a serious hearing loss, and found that Respondent was speaking very fast to her, as if she could not get a word in. Sister M.B. asked Brown about the \$700.00 hearing aids advertised, but Brown told her that those are only suitable for people in the top part of the graph, and her hearing loss extended to the bottom of the graph. Sister M.B. restated to Respondent and Brown that she is not authorized to make any large expenditures without prior authorization from her Order.
- 20. Respondent brought out paperwork for an order for hearing aids, and explained that if she did not fill out the forms, the offer would not be available later. Sister M.B. asked if she

could take the paperwork with her to review before signing anything. Brown told her that she had already entered into the contract, and it did not really matter if she signed the paperwork or not.

Sister M.B. finally signed the paperwork because she felt shaken up and wanted to leave.

- 21. Respondent entered into a purchase agreement with Sister M.B. on January 20, 2012, for the purchase of a pair of Intela-Hear hearing aids at the cost of \$4,491.00. MHAC records show that Sister M.B. opened a credit card through Credit Care to finance the purchase. Once Sister M.B. left the MHAC branch location and began reviewing the purchase agreement, she saw that she had opened a credit card and had purchased an expensive hearing aid package. As a result of her shock and distress, Sister M.B. was unable to drive herself home, and she and Sister F.W. stayed the night in a nearby hotel. Sister M.B. was still shaken up that evening, and tripped and fell, causing her wrist to fracture.
- 22. On or about January 23, 2012, Sister M.B. called MHAC and left a message for Respondent explaining that she wanted to cancel her order. On or about January 26, 2012, Respondent returned Sister M.B.'s call and told her that any cancelation would incur a 15% cancelation fee, but that she could avoid the cancelation fee if she went through with the order and completed the Patient Journey and was not satisfied with the hearing aids.
- 23. On or about February 15, 2012, Hearing Aid Dispenser (HAD) Melissa Peacock employed by Respondent as the Chief Compliance Officer for MHAC, wrote a letter to Sister M.B. advising her that a cancelation fee of \$673.65 was being imposed, but that she could choose to apply that fee towards the purchase of another Intela-Hear hearing aid instrument through MHAC. Sister M.B.'s hearing loss is within the range deemed appropriate by industry standards for the entry level hearing aid advertised by MHAC.

Consumer A.K.

24. On or about May 9, 2012, A.K., an 82-year old woman, entered the Roseville Branch of the MHAC at 1601 Douglas Boulevard, Roseville, California. She was met by Respondent and HAD Melissa Peacock. HADs Peacock and Respondent conducted an audiogram test, showing A.K. had a moderate to severe hearing loss. The audiogram test results show that A.K. had an airbone gap in the right ear of greater than 15dB, and does not show than any bone conduction

///

testing was done in the left ear. In the Medical History Form A.K. completed at MHAC, she checked the box to indicate yes to the following three conditions: "Pain and Discomfort in the ear"; "Acute or recurring dizziness"; and "Ringing in the ears." Despite these indications and the air-bone gap shown on the audiogram, Respondent and HAD Peacock failed to refer A.K. for a medical clearance or consultation before proceeding with the sale of hearing aids.

- 25. On or about May 9, 2012, A.K. entered into a purchase agreement with Respondent for a pair of Intela-Hear hearing aids, for a total price of \$4,941.00. The purchase agreement indicated that the original cost of the pair was \$10,980.00 and the she received a 50% off sale, with an additional AARP discount. A.K. returned to the branch location on or about May 24, 2012 and was seen by Respondent, who fitted her with the hearing aids. A.K. has degenerative arthritis in her jaws, and small ear canals. When she started wearing the hearing aids, she began to develop pain in her ears. She tried to keep wearing the hearing aids in the hope that she would get used to them, but after four days the pain persisted and got worse, and she removed them.
- 26. Even after removing the hearing aids, A.K. continued to have pain, and saw her doctor who referred her to an otolaryngologist. The otolaryngologist advised her to stop wearing the hearing aids, and return them. On or about June 1, 2012, A.K. returned to MHAC and asked to return the hearing aids due to severe degenerative arthritis, causing pain in her ears. Staff at MHAC told A.K. to continue wearing the hearing aids. On or about June 12, 2012, A.K. returned to MHAC, and provided staff with a copy of the otolaryngologist's note instructing her not to wear the hearing aids. Respondent and other staff at MHAC refused to accept the return, and continued to advise A.K. to wear the hearing aids, or to allow an exchange of different hearing aids. Even after speaking with the otolaryngologist who treated A.K., MHAC refused to accept the return and refund A.K. the purchase price. After additional telephone calls and written communication with the otolaryngologist, MHAC agreed to provide A.K. with a refund on or about August of 2012. MHAC did not refund A.K. the purchase price until November 27, 2012.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent or Dishonest Act)

- 27. Paragraphs 16-23 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2533, subdivision (e), which incorporates section 651, committing a fraudulent or dishonest act, in that he committed fraudulent or dishonest acts in connection with the sale of a hearing aid to Sister M.B., which include, but are not limited to the following:
- (a) persuading Sister M.B. to enter into a purchase agreement, and to open a line of credit, despite her statements that she was not authorized to make a purchase and was only seeking information for purposes of price comparison;
- (b) falsely telling P.D. that she could return the devices for a refund if the hearing aids did not work for her while omitting the 15% cancelation fee and the onerous programs she would have to complete before being considered for a refund; and
- (c) selling the \$4,491.00 Intela-Hear hearing aids to Sister M.B. without offering the alternative of the \$700.00 hearing aid that was advertised by MHAC and appropriate for her hearing loss.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Song-Beverly Act)

- 29. Paragraphs 16-23 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2533, subsection (k), in that he violated Section 1793.02 (Song-Beverly Act), by entering into a purchase agreement that imposed a 15% cancelation fee.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 31. Paragraphs 24-26 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference herein.
- 32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2533, subdivision (f), gross negligence, in that he proceeded to sell hearing aids to A.K. without having a bone conduction

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

SA2014312652 32293924.doc

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Hearing Aid Dispensers License Number HA 7365, issued to Respondent Robert J. Bennett.
- 2. Revoking Respondent Robert Bennett's ability to supervise trainee and temporary licensees;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Robert Bennett, to pay the Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: December 4, 2015

PAUL SANCHEZ

Executive Officer Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers Board

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

16